

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
. 09/611,165	07/06/2000	John C. Calhoun JR.	5044:84	5604
7590 01/11/2007 Stanley R Moore Esq Jenkins & Gilchrist PC			EXAMINER FRENEL, VANEL	
	· .		3627	
CHOPENIED CEATIFICA	AN DEDICE OF DEGROVICE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	V MODE
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/611,165	CALHOUN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Vanel Frenel	3627				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Opened for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			··				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 O	ctober 2006.	•				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
,	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attach			·				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant

1. This communication is in response to the Request for reconsideration filed on 10/23/06. Claims 1-20 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rieker et al (5,832,447) in view of Warady et al (6,067,522), Myers (6,601,020) and further in view of Bessette (6,263,330), for substantially the same reasons given in the previous Office Action, and incorporated herein. Further reasons are presented hereinbelow

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed on 10/23/06 with respect to claims 1-20 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- (A) At pages 2-3 of the 10/23/06 response, Applicant's argues the followings:

Art Unit: 3627

(1) Rieker, Warady, Myers and Bessette fail to disclose a master account table including account setup information for at least one of utilization and login actions for a web-based managed care transaction system.

- (2) The withdrawal of the 103 rejection of the claims 1-11 due to the DECLARATION of CHERYL A. SETZER PURSUANT to 37 C.F.R 1.131.
- (B) With respect to Applicant's first argument, Examiner respectfully submitted that He relied upon the reference of Myers See Col.8, lines 28-67 which correspond to Applicant's claimed feature. Therefore, Applicant's argument is not persuasive and the rejection is hereby sustained.
- (C) With respect to Applicant's second argument, Examiner respectfully stated the DECLARATION to the 37 C.F.R 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Meyers (6,601,020), Warady (6,067,522), Rieker (5,832,447) and Bessette (6,263,330) references.

The evidence submitted herewith of Cheryl A. Setzer is insufficient to overcome the Meyers' reference of May 3, 2000 because the referenced date in the Affidavit cannot overcome a reference with an earlier priority date May 3, 2000.

Since the declaration was insufficient, Applicant's argument directed to the rejection of the claims 1-20 used in the previous Office Action was based on the submission of the combination of the references cited above to reject the claims.

Therefore, Applicant's argument is not persuasive and the rejection is hereby sustained.

Art Unit: 3627

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vanel Frenel whose telephone number is 571-272-6769. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ALEX Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

√.*F* ∨.F

January 6, 2007

Frimary Examiner, All 3627